

# EXHIBIT 1

1 Sean A. Woods (Arizona Bar #028930)  
2 Robert T. Mills (Arizona Bar #018853)  
3 **MILLS + WOODS LAW, PLLC**  
4 5055 North 12th Street, Suite 101  
5 Phoenix, Arizona 85014  
6 Telephone 480.999.4556  
7 [docket@millsandwoods.com](mailto:docket@millsandwoods.com)  
8 [swoods@millsandwoods.com](mailto:swoods@millsandwoods.com)  
9 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

12 Debra Morales Ruiz, an individual, for  
13 herself and on behalf of and as pending  
14 Personal Representative of The Estate of  
15 Alexander Chavez; Alex George Chavez,  
16 an individual,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 County of Maricopa, a governmental  
20 entity; Brandon Smith and Jane Doe Smith;  
21 Paul Penzone and Jane Doe Penzone;  
22 David Crutchfield, an individual; Lisa  
23 Struble, an individual; Kyle Moody and  
24 Jane Doe Moody; Arturo Dimas and Jane  
25 Doe Dimas; Tyler Park and Jane Doe Park;  
26 Gerardo Magat and Jane Doe Magat;  
27 Daniel Hawkins Jr. and Jane Doe Hawkins;  
28 Javier Montano and Jane Doe Montano;  
James Dailey and Jane Doe Dailey; Trevor  
Martin and Jane Doe Martin; Gregory  
Hertig and Jane Doe Hertig; John Chester  
and Jane Doe Chester; Jorge. Espinos. Jr.  
and Jane Doe Espinosa; Morgan Rainey  
and John Doe Rainey; Stefanie Marsland  
and John Doe Marsland; and, John and Jane  
Does 1-40,

Defendants.

No: CV-23-02482-PHX-SRB (DMF)

**PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

(Assigned to the Honorable Susan R.  
Bolton and referred to the Honorable  
Deborah M. Fine)

(JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)

1 Plaintiffs Debra Morales Ruiz (“Debra”), the Estate of Alexander Robert Chavez  
 2 (“Alexander”), and Alex George Chavez (“George”), by and through their attorneys, Mills  
 3 + Woods Law PLLC, for their Complaint against Defendants Maricopa County  
 4 (“Maricopa”), ~~Maricopa County Sheriff’s Office (“MCSO”), Maricopa County~~  
 5 ~~Correctional Health Services (“CHS”),~~ Brandon Smith (“Smith”), Paul Penzone  
 6 (“Penzone”), ~~Thomas Tegeler (“Tegeler”),~~ David Crutchfield (“David”), Lisa Struble  
 7 (“Lisa”), Kyle Moody (“Moody”), Arturo Dimas (“Dimas”), Tyler Park (“Park”), Gerardo  
 8 Magat (“Magat”), Daniel Hawkins Jr. (“Hawkins”), Javier Montano (“Montano”), James  
 9 Dailey (“Dailey”), Trevor Martin (“Martin”), Gregory Hertig (“Hertig”), John Chester  
 10 (“Chester”), Jorge Espinosa Jr. (“Espinosa”), Morgan Rainey (“Rainey”), and Stefanie  
 11 Marsland (“Marsland”) (collectively “Defendants”) allege and state as follows:

### 13 INTRODUCTION

- 14 1. Alexander Chavez was a young and vibrant 32-year-old.
- 15 2. He made mistakes, was arrested, and was booked into the Lower Buckeye  
 16 Jail.
- 17 3. He was a loving son, brother, and uncle and doted on his family, providing  
 18 emotional and financial support to them.
- 19 4. He had his whole life ahead of him and was trying his best to get back on his  
 20 feet.
- 21 5. Mr. Chavez’s booking number was T796431 and his date of birth was  
 22 08/31/1989.
- 23 6. Mr. Chavez arrived at the Lower Buckeye Jail (the “Jail”) on August 5, 2022  
 24 and was transported to the hospital on or about August 8, 2022 due to injuries he suffered  
 25 under Defendants’ lack of care in MCSO’s facilities.
- 26 7. He died from these injuries on August 12, 2022.
- 27
- 28

**THE PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff Debra is an adult individual who resides in Maricopa County, Arizona.

9. Debra is next of kin, mother to Alexander Chavez (“Chavez”), and the pending Personal Representative for Plaintiff the Estate of Alexander Robert Chavez (“Estate”).

10. Plaintiff George is an adult individual who resides in Maricopa County, Arizona and is the father of Chavez.

11. Defendant Maricopa is a governmental entity that acts by and through its officials, employees and agents, including without limitation ~~the MCSO~~ CHS, and each of the Defendants ~~Smith, Penzone, Crutchfield, Struble, Tegeler, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin, Hertig~~, Chester, Espinosa, Rainey, and Marsland.

~~Defendant MCSO is a governmental entity that acts by and through its officials, employees and agents, including without limitation each of the Defendants Smith, Penzone, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin, Hertig, and Espinosa.~~

12. Defendant CHS is a governmental entity that acts by and through its officials, employees and agents, including without limitation each of the Defendants ~~Tegeler, Chester, Rainey, and Marsland~~ Crutchfield and Struble.

13. Defendant Captain Brandon Smith was at all times relevant to this complaint, a Captain of the MCSO’s Detention division and is sued in his official and individual capacity. He is tasked with oversight of the MCSO Detention centers and employees under his command and is responsible for all policies and procedures promulgated by the MCSO. He is an agent of Maricopa and the MCSO, operating in his official and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

1           14. Defendant Sheriff Paul Penzone is sued in ~~her~~ his official and individual  
2 capacity. He was tasked with oversight of the MCSO and was responsible for all policies  
3 and procedures promulgated by the MCSO. Penzone is responsible for MCSO officials,  
4 employees and agents, including without limitation each of the Defendants Smith, Moody,  
5 Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin, Hertig, and Espinosa.

6           15. He is an agent of Maricopa and the MCSO, operating in his official and  
7 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

8           16. Defendant Officer Kyle Moody is employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
9 Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
10 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

11           17. Defendant Officer Arturo Dimas is employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
12 Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
13 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

14           18. Defendant Officer Tyler Park is employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
15 Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
16 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona

17           19. Defendant Officer Gerardo Magat is employed by, and serving as an agent  
18 of, Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
19 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

20           20. Defendant Officer Daniel Hawkins, Jr. is employed by, and serving as an  
21 agent of, Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official  
22 and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

23           21. Defendant Officer James Dailey is employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
24 Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
25 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

1           22. Defendant Officer Trevor Martin is employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
2 Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
3 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

4           23. Defendant Officer Gregory Hertig is employed by, and serving as an agent  
5 of, Maricopa, and the MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and  
6 individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

7           24. Defendant John Chester is upon information and belief employed by, and  
8 serving as an agent of, Maricopa, and MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his  
9 official and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

10           25. Defendant Morgan Rainey is upon information and belief employed by, and  
11 serving as an agent of, Maricopa, and MCSO. At all relevant times he was operating in his  
12 official and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

13           26. Defendant Stefanie Marsland is upon information and belief employed by,  
14 and serving as an agent of, Maricopa, and MCSO. At all relevant times she was operating  
15 in his official and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

16           27. Defendant ~~Thomas Tegeler~~ David Crutchfield was at all relevant times in  
17 this complaint upon information and belief the Medical Director of CHS, employed by,  
18 and serving as an agent of, Maricopa, and CHS. At all relevant times he was operating in  
19 his official and individual capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

20           28. Defendant Lisa Struble was at all relevant times in this complaint upon  
21 information and belief the Director of CHS, employed by, and serving as an agent of,  
22 Maricopa, and CHS. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and individual  
23 capacity in Maricopa County, Arizona.

1 Defendant John Chester is employed by, and serving as an agent of, Maricopa, and  
 2 CHS. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and individual capacity in  
 3 Maricopa County, Arizona.

4 Defendant Morgan Rainey is employed by, and serving as an agent of, Maricopa,  
 5 and CHS. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and individual capacity in  
 6 Maricopa County, Arizona.

7 Defendant Stefanie Marsland is employed by, and serving as an agent of, Maricopa,  
 8 and CHS. At all relevant times he was operating in his official and individual capacity in  
 9 Maricopa County, Arizona.

10  
 11 29. Defendants Smith, Penzone, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Dailey,  
 12 Martin, Tegeler, Chester, Rainey, Marsland, and Hertig were acting for the benefit of their  
 13 respective marital communities, if any, and therefore their respective marital communities  
 14 are liable for their actions as set forth herein. Accordingly, Defendants Jane Doe Smith,  
 15 Jane Doe Penzone, Jane Doe Moody, Jane Doe Dimas, Jane Doe Park, Jane Doe Magat,  
 16 Jane Doe Hawkins, Jane Doe Dailey, Jane Doe Martin, Jane Doe Tegeler, Jane Doe  
 17 Chester, John Doe Rainey, John Doe Marsland, and Jane Doe Hertig are named as  
 18 Defendants herein.

19 30. Defendant Maricopa is vicariously liable under the principle of *respondeat*  
 20 *superior* for the actions and inactions of the employees of the MCSO, CHS, and any private  
 21 contractors including those employees or contractors named as defendants in this action,  
 22 as to any claims that are asserted by Plaintiffs as a result of violations of the Arizona  
 23 Constitution and Arizona common law because, at all relevant times, those Defendants  
 24 were acting within the course and scope of their employment or contract with MCSO, CHS,  
 25 or entities privately contracted with MCSO or CHS.  
 26  
 27  
 28

~~Defendant MCSO is vicariously liable under the principle of *respondeat superior* for the actions and inactions of the employees of the MCSO and any private contractors including those employees or contractors named as defendants in this action, as to any claims that are asserted by Plaintiffs as a result of violations of the Arizona Constitution and Arizona common law because, at all relevant times, those Defendants were acting within the course and scope of their employment or contract with MCSO or entities privately contracted with MCSO.~~

~~Defendant CHS is vicariously liable under the principle of *respondeat superior* for the actions and inactions of the employees of the CHS and any private contractors including those employees or contractors named as defendants in this action, as to any claims that are asserted by Plaintiffs as a result of violations of the Arizona Constitution and Arizona common law because, at all relevant times, those Defendants were acting within the course and scope of their employment or contract with CHS or entities privately contracted with CHS.~~

31. For purposes of Plaintiffs' claims arising under Federal law, including without limitation the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983 *et seq.*, and as may be relevant to Plaintiff's state law claims, at all relevant times described herein, Defendants were acting under color of state law.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

32. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983 *et seq.*, Plaintiffs bring this action for violations of the United States Constitution, including without limitation the Fourth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendments and Arizona common and statutory laws.

33. The amount in controversy exceeds the minimal jurisdictional limits of this Court.





1       43.       How fentanyl made it into Chavez' hands at a secured Jail facility is beyond  
2 comprehension.

3       44.       MCSO, CHS, and their employees, agents, medical professionals, and  
4 officers are there to prevent unauthorized drugs, weapons, and other restricted materials  
5 from being introduced into the Jail. Chavez was only there for one day before he was able  
6 to get his hands on enough fentanyl to attempt suicide.

7       45.       Chavez got his hands on the pills and attempted suicide.

8       46.       A note was added to Chavez' file on August 6, 2022 by stating "SUICIDE  
9 PREVENTION/AWARENESS FLYER PROVIDED TO INMATE."

10       47.       This was added to the file by both Morgan Rainey and John Chester.

11       48.       At that very moment Chavez should have and was required to have been kept  
12 in the psychiatric unit and placed on suicide watch according to Maricopa, MCSO and CHS  
13 policies and procedures.

14       49.       Maricopa, ~~MCSO, CHS~~, Penzone, their employees, agents, and officers  
15 failed in the most basic of tasks.

16       50.       To be clear, had Chavez been put on suicide watch, he would still be alive  
17 today.

18       51.       By failing to meet even the least stringent requirements, and by placing  
19 Chavez back into general population – rather than on suicide watch – Maricopa, ~~MCSO,~~  
20 ~~CHS~~, Penzone, their employees, agents, and officers implicitly signed Chavez' proverbial  
21 death warrant.

22       "PA-C Med; 1632H" wrote in Chavez' medical records that

23       Pt was found to have fentanyl on his person today and then sent to VW.

24       He was found once again to have drugs on him this evening.

1        52.        It is unknown at this point who “PA-C Med; 1632H” is.<sup>1</sup>

2        53.        To attempt to cover their actions, Rainey had Chavez sign a waiver form  
3 refusing Administrative Restrictive Housing.

4        54.        They ~~just let~~ put an opiate addict who had just attempted to end his life ~~enter~~  
5 in general population.

6        55.        Adding further insult to injury, Maricopa, ~~MCSO, CHS,~~ Penzone, their  
7 employees, agents, and officers disciplined Chavez for Promoting Prison Contraband and  
8 Possession of an Unauthorized Substance – added to Chavez’ file by John Chester.

9        56.        There were ample opportunities and reasons to assign Chavez to the proper  
10 classifications and put him on suicide watch.

11        57.        None of the Defendants did so.

12        58.        It is clear that Maricopa, ~~MCSO, CHS,~~ Penzone, their employees, agents,  
13 and officers only concern was to punish Chavez – not to properly classify him and put him  
14 on suicide watch to prevent his death.

15        59.        Maricopa, ~~MCSO, CHS,~~ Penzone, their employees, agents, health  
16 professionals, and officers knew that Chavez was going to be facing severe opiate  
17 withdrawals.

18        60.        In fact, medical records show that Chavez was seen by staff because he was  
19 opiate dependent, was in severe withdrawal, was classified as “Red Dot” due to an acute  
20 illness, was supposed to be put on opiate protocol with medications, and was required to  
21 be put in a lower bunk.  
22  
23

---

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> Additionally, the CHS Medical Records refer to practitioners via code and names were  
26 never provided prior to institution of this litigation. These include: “RN; 3002H”, “NP;  
27 1489H”, “NP; 2712H”, “RN; CS995”, “RN; 3038H”, “RN, Nurse Mgr; 2231H”, “RN;  
28 2942H”, “RN; 1518H”, “CHT; 2806H”, “RN; 2967H”, and “Um Coord; CH050”. Because  
this information is in the sole custody and control of Defendants, Plaintiff reserves the right  
to add parties once the information is discovered.

1        61.        Chavez – nearly immediately after being placed in general population began  
2 experiencing extreme symptoms of opiate withdrawal.

3        62.        On August 7, 2022, he was found in the fetal position in the day room holding  
4 his breath.

5        63.        When staff threatened him with being placed in a monitored room, he reacted  
6 by breathing.

7        64.        They placed him and his “mat” back into his jail cell and left him there.

8        65.        On August 8, 2022, an unknown RN Nurse Manager updated Chavez’ file to  
9 indicate he had a history of severe opiate withdrawal.

10        66.        The records show that he was supposed to be placed under opiate protocol  
11 and administered multiple prescriptions including Hydroxyzine, Loperamide, and  
12 Ondansetron.

13        67.        These were ordered by “CHS Medical Director MD”,

14        68.        Upon information and belief, the CHS Medical Director MD was Lisa  
15 Struble.

16        69.        Despite this, Records show that only one dose of Hydroxyzine was  
17 administered.

18        70.        Defendants left him alone in his cell without administering further  
19 medications to help Chavez survive his withdrawal symptoms.

20        71.        Chavez was in extreme pain and distress having to deal with his withdrawal  
21 symptoms without assistance.

## 22                    **SECOND SUICIDE ATTEMPT AND SUBSEQUENT DEATH**

23        72.        Had Defendants actually followed the opiate protocol and performed any of  
24 their basic duties and procedures, Chavez would not have dealt with the awful side effects  
25 of opiate withdrawal.  
26  
27  
28

73. According to a study from the National Library of Medicine on Opiate Withdrawal: Opioid withdrawal syndrome is a life-threatening condition resulting from opioid dependence.

74. Had Defendants actually cared about the life and safety of Chavez, his withdrawal symptoms would have been manageable.

75. Had he been on suicide watch in the psychiatric unit, he would not have had the opportunity to attempt suicide again and certainly would have been found much sooner following his suicide attempt.

76. This critical time – at least 25 minutes unattended – caused Chavez to suffer severe brain injuries that ultimately led to his death.

77. According to records:

Alexander Chavez is a 31-year-old male seen by stroke neurology on 8/8/2022 for a right vertebral artery thrombus, V2 segment. He is seen following transfer from jail where he was found following hanging by the neck, having been unattended for an estimated 25 minutes.

When he was initially found by the officer in his charge no pulses were palpable. CPR was performed for 10 minutes.

Upon arrival of EMS he was intubated. He was subsequently transferred to BUMCP. Unclear when ROSC was achieved.

He received 5 mg midazolam and 250 mg phenobarbital in the trauma bay due to movements that were interpreted as potential seizure activity.

CT head without contrast was, per my independent review, uninterpretable due to motion artifact, although the radiology report does indicate that there is concern for anoxic brain injury.

CT angiogram of the head and neck, per my review, does show a thrombus in the right vertebral artery, V2 segment, at the level of C3–4 vertebrae.

#Intravascular thrombus, V2 segment of right vertebral artery at the level of C3-4 vertebrae

#Concern for anoxic brain injury

1 #Found following presumptive suicide attempt, hanging in jail, pulseless  
2 when found

3 #UDS positive for methamphetamine

4 78. Furthermore, according to records, Chavez presented as a trauma red for  
5 evaluation after being found hanging. Records note that:

6 Patient was found hanging in his cell at a local jail. He was noted to still be  
7 touching the ground and presumed to have been unattended for  
8 approximately 25 minutes at the time he was found. When he was cut down,  
9 he was noted to be unresponsive without any spontaneously respiratory  
10 effort. He did have a pulse when found, which he maintained through  
transport. An oral airway was placed and he was brought to the trauma bay  
with active bagging taking place. He is unable to provide any history. Per  
EMS, he has no known medical history.

11 79. Chavez eventually died from his injuries on August 12, 2022.

### 12 **FAILURE TO ASSESS, CLASSIFY, AND MONITOR**

13 80. Defendants failed to perform proper assessments as to Chavez' mental state,  
14 conditions, and illnesses.

15 81. Chavez was pushed through the assessment process quickly so that  
16 Defendants could put him in a cell and ignore him.

17 82. Penzone and Smith are charged with implementing and maintaining policies  
18 and procedures for the MCSO, its employees, and its jails – including the Lower Buckeye  
19 Jail. They are also charged with oversight of their jail facilities. As such, they are required  
20 to review employee actions regularly to ensure MCSO policies and procedures are being  
21 followed.

22 83. ~~Tegeler~~ Crutchfield and Struble ~~is~~ is charged with implementing and  
23 maintaining policies and procedures for the CHS and its facilities – including the Lower  
24 Buckeye Jail medical facilities. ~~He is~~ They are also charged with oversight of CHS'  
25 facilities. As such, he is required to review employee actions regularly to ensure CHS  
26 policies and procedures are being followed.  
27  
28

MILLS + WOODS LAW, PLLC  
5055 North 12th Street, Ste 101  
Phoenix, AZ 85014  
Telephone: 480.999.4556

1       84.       Their lack of proper oversight at the Jail led directly to lax behavior by  
2 Maricopa, MCSO, and CHS staff.

3       85.       To wit, headcounts were clearly not regularly performed at the required  
4 intervals.

5       86.       Furthermore, it is apparent that no proper oversight has occurred with inmate  
6 evaluations - both security based and medical based.

7       87.       According to shift logs obtained via public records request, the last time  
8 officers or guards made rounds and “put eyes on” Chavez prior to his suicide attempt was  
9 at 1700 hours August 8, 2022.

10       88.       Smith, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin,  
11 Hertig, and Espinosa upon information and belief were working at the Jail on the day of  
12 Chavez’ death.

13       89.       Each had a responsibility to ensure the safety and well-being of Chavez. Each  
14 of them could have – at any time – classified Chavez as needing to be under suicide watch.  
15

16       90.       They did not.

17       91.       Each of them could have – at any time – performed the proper headcounts at  
18 the proper intervals.

19       92.       They did not.

20       93.       Chavez was assigned bunk Cell-A 03 on the day of his death. The location  
21 of his bunk was Floor 3 HOUSE 34 POD A (LBJF:34:A:10:01) at the Jail in Phoenix,  
22 Arizona.

23       94.       This bunk is also known – based on records received from MCSO – as  
24 “T34A.03”  
25  
26  
27  
28

1        95.        The Correctional Officers (hereinafter “CO” or “COs”) who actually  
2 conducted patrols and headcounts on the day of Chavez’ death and up to his death were  
3 Officers Park, Magat, Hawkins, Espinosa, and Moody.

4        96.        According to Officer Moody’s (B4996) Incident Report:

5  
6                On 08/08/2022 at the Lower Buckeye Jail located at 250 W Lower Buckeye  
7 Rd, Phoenix, AZ 85009, at approximately 1825 hours, I conducted a security  
8 walk in T34 A pod. During the security walk, as I approached cell T34A.03,  
9 I observed an inmate, later identified as Inmate Chavez, Alexander T796431  
10 sitting on the ground, at the back of the cell, in between the table and the  
11 bunks inside the cell. Inmate Chavez had an MCSO issued sheet, in what  
appeared to be tied into the shape of a noose, around his neck, with the other  
end tied to the top bunk inside of the cell. Immediately upon observing this,  
I made a radio call requesting for additional officers to respond and bring a  
911 tool.

12        97.        From 1700 – 1825 hours, Chavez was left on his own.

13        98.        There are entries on the shift logs for rounds every hour on the hour.

14        99.        The 1800 entry is blank.

15        100.       Nobody performed their security checks or rounds at 1800 hours.

16        101.       As discussed above, Chavez’ estimated time of his suicide attempt was about  
17 25 minutes prior to being found.

18        102.       Again, if any of Smith, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano,  
19 Dailey, Martin, Hertig, and Espinosa had properly performed their duties, Chavez would  
20 have been observed at 1800 hours and would have been stopped from attempting suicide.

21        103.       The MCSO shift logs have entries for a patrol and review of headcount for  
22 every hour of the day.

23        104.       Officers skipped their patrol and headcount for the 1800 hour – Instead  
24 waiting nearly half an hour past 1800 to conduct the 1800 headcount  
25

26        105.       This 25-minute gap was critical and a direct cause of Chavez’ subsequent  
27 death.  
28



106. According to I ELIZARRARAS' (S2178) Incident Report, IR22020649,

- The jail surveillance video was reviewed briefly, and this is a general summary of the events that occurred. For full details of the event, reference the jail surveillance video submitted. The times frames provided are the ones observed on the video. The following is what I observed:
- 1824 hours: Detention Officer Moody (B4996) enters T34 A Pod and begins to make a radio call while in front of cell 3 (T34A.03).
- 1825 hours: Detention Officer Moody enters the cell. Medical staff also enters the cell. Inmate Chavez is removed from the cell.
- 1826 hours: Detention Officer Moody begins providing inmate Chavez chest compressions. Medical staff arrives with a gurney. AED was on site.
- 1833 hours: Inmate Chavez is placed on the gurney and moved out of T34 A Pod housing unit. Detention Officer Moody continues with chest compressions.
- 1832 hours: Phoenix Fire Engine & Engine #21 arrive at LBJ.
- 1834 hours: Phoenix Fire Engine arrive at LBJ main clinic.
- 1836 hours: Inmate Chavez arrives at the LBJ main clinic.
- 1841 hours: Inmate Chavez is moved out of LBJ main clinic by Phoenix Fire.
- 1843 hours: Phoenix Fire Ambulance #21 departs with inmate Chavez

107. At approximately 1837 hours, after arriving to the LBJ main clinic, Phoenix Fire personnel took over for CPR and rescue attempts by tapping Officer Moody's arm and telling him, "You can stop." Phoenix Fire personnel also stated they could feel a carotid pulse at that time.

108. It took another seven minutes to get Chavez on the road to the Emergency Room.

109. It took another 12 minutes to arrive to Banner Good Samaritan Hospital.

110. It took nearly a full hour following Chavez' suicide attempts to provide trauma care for his injuries.

111. There lies a concept in medical care that a patient must be seen and provided definitive care within one hour of the injuries. This concept is called the "Golden Hour."

1 While some patients can recover fully with proper immediate care, a lack of oxygen to the  
2 brain is deadly to a human being within minutes.

3 112. According to the National Library of Medicine, “Attempted suicidal  
4 hanging: an uncomplicated recovery” written by Sarathchandra Kodikara, Dec 2012 found  
5 and retrieved January 25, 2023 at <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22333907/>:

6  
7 Although hanging is common across the world, survival after attempted  
8 hanging is very rare with death usually *occurring within minutes* or over the  
9 first 24 hours. If the person survives the initial event, later he/she may die  
10 because of the severity of the initial hypoxic and ischemic brain damage.  
11 Survival from hanging is often associated with various complications including  
12 a large variety of neurological consequences. This case report highlights a rare  
13 case of survival in attempted hanging of a 35-year-old man, with previous  
14 suicide ideation. Within 15 minutes of the incident, he was brought to a tertiary  
15 care hospital. On admission, he was unconscious and the Glasgow Coma Scale  
16 was 4 with tachycardia, weak pulse, bradypnea, and shallow breathing. With  
17 vigorous and prompt resuscitation methods, he gradually recovered without any  
18 residual neurological outcome. Prognostically good results could be achieved,  
19 if such victims are vigorously and promptly resuscitated, irrespective of their  
20 initial presentation. (emphasis added).

21 113. In that report, a man attempted to hang himself, but was found and brought  
22 to a hospital within 15 minutes of the injury. That man survived.

23 114. In Chavez’ case, he wasn’t found for at least 25 minutes – probably longer –  
24 and was effectively brain dead. There is no coming back from brain death.

25 115. Not only was Chavez not treated properly until at a bare minimum of 56  
26 minutes, but he had also been left without oxygen to the brain for over 25 minutes prior to  
27 discovery of his attempted suicide.

28 116. If not for the egregious and grossly negligent actions of Defendants and  
potentially unknown at this time employees, agents, and officers, Alexander Chavez would  
have benefited from life-saving prompt treatment of his traumatic injuries.

117. Myriad clinical research studies illustrate significantly improved patient  
outcomes for patients discovered within minutes of a hanging.

118. The officers here ignored their duties and did not perform a headcount at 1800 hours as required.

119. This – coupled with Alexander not being on suicide watch – created an inability to have discovered Chavez to prevent him from hanging for over 25 minutes.

120. It is incumbent upon Paul Penzone and the wardens, captains, directors, supervisors, corrections officers, Smith, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin, Hertig, Espinosa and the MCSO to fulfill the duty assured to Alexander Chavez and all inmates under the United States Constitution, including without limitation:

- Maintain physical control over all inmates to prevent harm to both staff and other inmates; and
- Implement, evaluate and maintain security procedures and protocols in accordance with industry standards to protect both staff and other inmates; and
- Act affirmatively to protect inmates when a potential threat or risk of harm to either staff or another inmate becomes known to them; and
- Hire, train, and supervise corrections officers and staff in a manner that thoroughly ensures the mission of the Arizona Department of Corrections is carried out regarding the physical protection of all staff and inmates; and
- Maintain strong presence of supervision, control, and oversight over corrections officers and all prison personnel; and
- Provide medical care and treatment for all inmates according to the standard of care recognized by the industry.

121. Based upon the objective unreasonableness and deliberate indifference to the security of Alexander Chavez' physical person relative to the events leading up to the suicide attempt, coupled with the egregiously negligent, objectively unreasonable, and deliberately indifferent actions of Defendants in failing to properly assess Alexander Chavez' mental state and condition, it is evident that Maricopa, MCSO, CHS, its wardens, associate wardens, directors, captains, commanders, supervisors, corrections officers, health professionals, and staff have breached each of these duties proscribed by law.

MILLS + WOODS LAW, PLLC  
 5055 North 12th Street, Ste 101  
 Phoenix, AZ 85014  
 Telephone: 480.999.4556

122. As a direct and proximate result of these myriad breaches, Alexander Chavez died.

123. Each of the Defendants were negligent, and in fact grossly negligent, in that they had a nondelegable duty to care for and protect Chavez and failed to act despite realizing that their acts, omissions to act and other conduct created a high probability that substantial harm would be visited upon Alexander Chavez.

124. Further, the acts and omissions detailed herein constitute additional actionable torts under statutes of the State of Arizona and common law.

125. The actions of ~~the MCSO through Sheriff Paul Penzone, the Jail through Brandon Smith, and MCSO employees, agents, and corrections officers including Smith, Moody, Dimas, Park, Magat, Hawkins, Montano, Dailey, Martin, Hertig, and Espinosa~~ the Defendants have violated the rights of Alexander Chavez under the United States and Arizona Constitutions, including without limit his ~~Eighth and~~ Fourteenth Amendment rights.

~~The actions of CHS through Tegeler, Chester, Rainey, Marsland, and CHS employees and agents have violated the rights of Alexander Chavez under the United States and Arizona Constitutions, including without limit his Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment rights.~~

126. Following Chavez' second suicide attempt, Chavez was classified with the following flags:

- 841 Red Dot 8/5/2022 8/5/2022
- 96 COWS 8/7/2022 8/7/2022 8/21/2022
- 834 Opioid Use 8/7/2022 8/7/2022
- 167 Bottom Bunk (BB) 8/7/2022 8/7/2022
- 168 Bottom Tier (BT) 8/7/2022 8/7/2022

MILLS + WOODS LAW, PLLC  
5055 North 12th Street, Ste 101  
Phoenix, AZ 85014  
Telephone: 480.999.4556

- 193 No Work No Tents (NWNT) 8/7/2022 8/7/2022
- 828 Proxy Medium 8/7/2022 8/7/2022
- 161 Suicide Attempt History While Incarcerated 8/9/2022 8/9/2022
- 52 Suicide Watch - Potential 8/9/2022 8/9/2022
- He was also classified with “Problems” by CHS:
- Red Dot 8/5/2022
- COWS 8/6/2022
- Opioid Use 8/6/2022
- Bottom Bunk (BB) 8/6/2022
- Bottom Tier (BT) 8/6/2022
- No WorkNo Tents (NWNT) 8/6/2022
- Suicide Attempt History While Incarcerated 8/8/2022
- Suicide Watch - Potential 8/8/2022

127. On August 8, 2022 at 6:59PM, after he had already hung himself, Chavez was added to the Suicide Watch list and a group note was posted by “RN; 2967H” to his records.

128. Had the Defendants done a proper assessment of Chavez’ mental state, it would have been easily discerned that Chavez was in fact a suicide risk.

129. In fact, ~~CHS~~ Defendants knew that Chavez was a suicide risk after his first suicide attempt.

130. Rainey provided Chavez with a Suicide Prevention/Awareness pamphlet in the early hours of August 6, 2022 and then Chavez was re-classified from psychiatric to general population and thrown out to deal with his withdrawal symptoms with no help.

131. Defendants could have properly assessed his condition and placed him on suicide watch at intake – instead of after the fact. The actions and inactions of Defendants

1 – including those individuals known or unknown –violated the ~~Eighth~~ Fourteenth  
 2 Amendment to the United States Constitution, which is mirrored by Art. 2 § 15 of the  
 3 Arizona Constitution. Such violations of civil rights are actionable pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §  
 4 1983 et seq. ~~As the United States Supreme Court has determined:~~

5 The ~~[Eighth]~~ Amendment embodies

6  
 7 'broad and idealistic concepts of dignity, civilized standards, humanity, and  
 8 decency' against which we must evaluate penal measures. Thus, we have held  
 9 repugnant to the Eighth Amendment punishments which are incompatible  
 10 with 'the evolving standards of decency that mark the progress of a maturing  
 11 society,' or which 'involve the unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain.'  
 12 These elementary principles establish the government's obligation to provide  
 13 medical care for those whom it is punishing by incarceration. An inmate must  
 14 rely on prison authorities to treat his medical needs; if the authorities fail to  
 15 do so, those needs will not be met. In the worst cases, such a failure may  
 16 actually provide physical 'torture or a lingering death,' the evils of most  
 17 immediate concern to the drafters of the Amendment. In less serious cases,  
 18 denial of medical care may result in pain and suffering which no one suggests  
 would serve any penological purpose. The infliction of such unnecessary  
 suffering is inconsistent with contemporary standards of decency as  
 manifested in modern legislation codifying the common law view that '(i) is  
 but just that the public be required to care for the prisoner, who cannot by  
 reason of the deprivation of liberty, care for himself.' We therefore conclude  
 that deliberate indifference to serious medical needs of prisoners constitutes  
 the 'unnecessary infliction of pain.'

19 *Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 102-05 (1976) (internal citations omitted).

## 20 COUNT I

### 21 Violation of Civil Rights Under the ~~Eighth and Fourteenth~~ Amendments 22 and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

23 132. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though  
 24 fully set forth herein.

25 133. The ~~Eighth~~ Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, ~~which~~  
 26 ~~applies to the Defendants pursuant to the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth~~  
 27 ~~Amendment~~, forbids one who acts under color of state law from being deliberately  
 28

1 ~~indifferent to the serious needs of individuals~~ failing to protect from harm a pre-trial  
 2 detainee in their care, custody and control.

3 134. At all relevant times, Defendants were acting under color of law.

4 135. At all relevant times, Alexander Chavez was in the care, custody and control  
 5 of Defendants.

6 136. Among other things, Defendants, through their education and training, knew  
 7 or should have known the procedures for an accurate and careful assessment of an inmate  
 8 who had already attempted suicide, but deliberately ignored that fact and failed to keep  
 9 Alexander Chavez under suicide watch that would have kept Alexander Chavez alive.

10 137. Among other things, Defendant are aware or should be aware of their  
 11 responsibilities and duties toward an inmate who had already attempted suicide – namely  
 12 keeping him under suicide watch.

13 138. Among other things, Defendants are aware or should be aware of security  
 14 issues that can arise based on their experience and their various responsibilities and duties  
 15 required to provide a safe and secure environment for inmates of the Jail.

16 139. The conduct of Defendants in this regard was objectively unreasonable and  
 17 was undertaken with a willful, reckless and malicious indifference to the constitutional  
 18 rights and liberty interests of Alexander Chavez and the Plaintiffs, and with no regard to  
 19 the likelihood that harm would and did result, and that Alexander Chavez would and did  
 20 suffer needlessly while in their care.

21 140. The deliberate indifference and objectively unreasonable care given to the  
 22 serious needs of Alexander Chavez constitutes unnecessary and wanton infliction of pain  
 23 proscribed by the ~~Eighth~~ Fourteenth Amendment and is in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983,  
 24 whether the objective unreasonableness and indifference is manifested by Defendants in  
 25 response to Alexander Chavez' suicidal actions, or intentionally or delaying classifying  
 26 Alexander Chavez as a suicide risk.

27 141. As a direct and proximate result of the objective unreasonableness and  
 28 deliberate indifference of Defendants, Alexander Chavez suffered extraordinary pain and  
 premature death, and Plaintiffs have suffered damages.







1 federal, state, and applicable industry standards.

2 152. Defendants breached their duties, as identified by the allegations set forth in  
3 the paragraphs above, by among things and without limitation willfully participating in a  
4 practice or custom that denied Alexander Chavez adequate monitoring and placement, and  
5 by ratifying improper conditions, customs, policies, procedures and/or practices that  
6 jeopardized the safety of Alexander Chavez.

7 153. Additionally, Defendant Maricopa is vicariously liable for the acts and  
8 omissions of their employees, including without limitation those employees listed herein  
9 as defendants,

10 154. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent actions of Defendants and  
11 their employees and agents, Alexander Chavez suffered an untimely and preventable death.

12 155. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent actions of Defendants and  
13 their employees and agents, Plaintiffs have been deprived of the continued companionship  
14 and society of their son and father, and have suffered and continue to suffer the loss of a  
15 loved one, affection, companionship, care, protection, guidance, as well as pain, grief,  
16 sorrow, anguish, stress, shock, mental suffering, and have suffered both economic and non-

17 156. Additionally, the acts of Defendants and their employees and agents, as set  
18 forth above, demonstrate gross and wanton negligence in that each of them knew or had  
19 reason to know that their acts individually and collectively created an unreasonable risk of  
20 bodily harm to Alexander Chavez and a high probability that substantial harm would result.

21 157. In causing the painful, barbaric and premature death of Alexander Chavez,  
22 Defendants and their employees and agents acted with an evil mind and a malignant heart  
23 warranting an award of punitive damages.

### 24 **COUNT III**

#### 25 **Survivorship Action Pursuant to A.R.S. §14-3110**

26 158. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though  
27 fully set forth herein.

28 159. Defendants had a duty to assure the safety and well-being of Alexander

1 Chavez while in their care, custody and control, a duty that included, without limitation,  
2 providing proper, appropriate and timely care to Alexander Chavez.

3 160. Defendants breached their duties to Alexander Chavez, as identified in the  
4 allegations set forth in the paragraphs above.

5 161. Despite being the sole caretakers of Alexander Chavez, Defendants were  
6 negligent and grossly negligent by failing to properly classify, place, and watch Alexander  
7 Chavez, that would have saved Alexander Chavez' life.

8 162. Despite being assigned to monitor the security and welfare of the inmates  
9 housed in the Jail, Defendants were negligent and grossly negligent in their failure to  
10 perform their required duties in conducting inmate checks during the verified time of  
11 Alexander Chavez' second suicide attempt.

12 163. Defendants undertook a duty to provide adequate supervision and  
13 classification to the inmates of the Jail. This includes (1) the duty to supervise all of its  
14 employees and agents, and (2) the duty to ensure that its employees and agents satisfy all  
15 federal, state, and applicable industry standards.

16 164. Defendants breached their duties, as identified by the allegations set forth in  
17 the paragraphs above, by among things and without limitation willfully participating in a  
18 practice or custom that denied Alexander Chavez adequate monitoring and placement, and  
19 by ratifying improper conditions, customs, policies, procedures and/or practices that  
20 jeopardized the safety of Alexander Chavez.

21 165. Additionally, Defendant Maricopa is vicariously liable for the acts and  
22 omissions of their employees, including without limitation those employees listed herein  
23 as defendants,

24 166. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent actions of Defendants and  
25 their employees and agents, Alexander Chavez suffered an untimely and preventable death.

26 167. As a direct and proximate result of the negligent actions of Defendants and  
27 their employees and agents, Chavez endured extreme pain and suffering from August 6,  
28 2022 until August 12, 2022, lost his ability to earn income following his death, and lost the  
ability to provide support to his family.



**COUNT V**

**Negligent Hiring, Training, Supervision and Retention**

177. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.

178. Defendants Maricopa, MCSO, CHS, Penzone, ~~Tegeler~~, Crutchfield, Struble, and Smith owed a duty to Alexander Chavez to ensure that their employees, officers and agents were qualified to serve in their respective roles before hiring and assigning employees to provide medical care for inmates.

179. Defendants Maricopa, MCSO, CHS, Penzone, ~~Tegeler~~, Crutchfield, Struble, and Smith also owed Alexander Chavez a duty to ensure that their employees, officers, and agents were properly trained and possessed the skill and knowledge to perform their assigned job tasks in a competent manner.

180. Despite being assigned to monitor the security and welfare of the inmates housed in the Jail, Defendants were negligent and grossly negligent in their failure to perform their required duties in conducting inmate checks during the verified time of Alexander Chavez' second suicide attempt.

181. Defendants undertook a duty to provide adequate supervision and classification to the inmates of the Jail. This includes (1) the duty to supervise all of its employees and agents, and (2) the duty to ensure that its employees and agents satisfy all federal, state, and applicable industry standards.

182. As set forth above, Defendants Maricopa, MCSO, CHS, Penzone, ~~Tegeler~~, Crutchfield, Struble, and Smith breached these duties.

183. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches of these duties, Alexander Chavez was damaged in that he, among other things, suffered extreme pain and suffering, lost the ability to have and maintain meaningful familial relationships, lost his life and sustained other damages that will be demonstrated at trial.

///

///

///

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

184. Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial in this matter as to all claims and

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiffs requests that the Court enter judgment against the

- a) For compensatory, general and special damages against each and every Defendant, jointly and severally, in an amount to be proven at trial;
- b) For all other non-pecuniary damages as to be proven at trial;
- c) For punitive and exemplary damages against Defendants in an amount appropriate to punish the wrongful conduct alleged herein and to deter such conduct in the future;
- d) For pre-and post judgment interest to the extent provided by law;
- e) For Plaintiffs' incurred costs, including all incurred attorneys' fees and court costs, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988 and as otherwise authorized by any other statute or law; and
- f) For such other relief as this Court may deem proper.